

## Sylow's Theorem

In this section, we will make much more progress classifying finite groups based on their order. First, some definitions:

**Definition:** Let  $G$  be a group and  $p$  prime.

- 1.) A group of order  $p^\alpha$  for  $\alpha \geq 0$  is called a  $p$ -group. Subgroups that are  $p$ -groups are  $p$ -subgroups.
- 2.) If  $G$  is a group of order  $p^\alpha m$  where  $p$  doesn't divide  $m$ , then a subgroup of order  $p^\alpha$  is called a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .
- 3.) The set of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  is  $\text{Syl}_p(G)$  and the number of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  is  $n_p(G)$ .

Before we state and prove the Sylow Theorems, we need the following lemma:

**Lemma:** Let  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ . If  $Q$  is any  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $Q \cap N_G(P) = Q \cap P$ .

**Pf:** Let  $H = Q \cap N_G(P)$ . Note that  $H \leq Q$  so it's a  $p$ -group.



Thus,  $|G/N| = p^{\alpha-1}m$ . So, by induction,  $G/N$  has a subgroup  $P/N$  of order  $p^{\alpha-1}$ .

Then  $|P| = |P/N| \cdot |N| = p^\alpha$ , so  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , and we're done.

Case 2:  $p$  doesn't divide  $|Z(G)|$ . Let  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  be representatives of the conjugacy classes not contained in the center.

The class equation is  $|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum_{i=1}^r |G:C_G(g_i)|$ .

If  $p \mid |G:C_G(g_i)|$  for all  $i$ , then we'd also have  $p \mid |Z(G)|$ , a contradiction. Thus, there is some  $i$  s.t.  $p$  doesn't divide  $|G:C_G(g_i)|$ . For this  $i$  set  $H = C_G(g_i)$ .

Then  $|H| = p^\alpha k$ , where  $p$  doesn't divide  $k$ .

$g_i \notin Z(G)$ , so the centralizer can't be all of  $G$ . Thus  $|H| < |G|$ , so by induction,  $H$  has a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of order  $p^\alpha$ , so it's also a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .  $\square$

Ex: If  $|G| = 168$ , then  $168 = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$ , so  $G$  has subgroups of orders 8, 3, and 7 (among others!). We also know it has a subgroup of order 2.

Before proving the next part, we make a calculation. By the first part, there is some Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ .

Let  $S = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_r\}$  be the conjugates of  $P$ .

i.e.  $S = \{gPg^{-1} \mid g \in G\}$ . Let  $Q$  be any  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .  
 $Q$  also acts on the set  $S$  by conjugation.

By construction,  $G$  acts transitively on  $S$ , but  $Q$  may not.

We can write

$$S = \mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{O}_s$$

where the  $\mathcal{O}_i$  are the orbits of  $S$  under the action of  $Q$ .

Thus  $r = |\mathcal{O}_1| + \dots + |\mathcal{O}_s|$ . Renumber the  $P_i$  so that the first  $r$  elements are representatives of each  $\mathcal{O}_i$ .

i.e.  $P_i \in \mathcal{O}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . (Note:  $r$  doesn't depend on  $Q$ ,  $s$  does)

Thus,  $|\mathcal{O}_i| = |Q : Q_{P_i}|$ , where  $Q_{P_i}$  is the stabilizer of  $P_i$ .

But

$Q_{P_i} = \{q \in Q \mid qP_iq^{-1} = P_i\} = N_Q(P_i) = N_G(P_i) \cap Q = P_i \cap Q$ , by the lemma.

Thus,  $|\mathcal{O}_i| = |Q : P_i \cap Q|$ .

If we set  $Q = P_1$ , we get  $|\mathcal{O}_1| = |P_1 : P_1 \cap P_1| = 1$ .

For  $i > 1$ ,  $P_i \neq P_1$ , so  $P_i \cap P_1 < P_1$ . So

$$|\mathcal{O}_i| = |P_1 : P_1 \cap P_i| > 1 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq s$$

↑  
power of  $p$ .

$$\text{Thus } r = \underbrace{|\mathcal{O}_1| + |\mathcal{O}_2| + \dots + |\mathcal{O}_s|}_{\text{div. by } p} \implies r \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

i.e. we just showed that the # of conjugates of a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup is equiv. to  $1 \pmod{p}$ . We'll use this in the proof.

### Sylow's Theorem, part 2:

1.) If  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $Q$  any  $p$ -subgp of  $G$ , then  $\exists g \in G$  s.t.  $Q \leq gP^{-1}g$ . i.e.  $Q$  is contained in some conjugate of  $P$ . In particular, any two Sylow  $p$ -subgroups are conjugate in  $G$ .

2.) The number of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  is of the form  $1 + kp$ . i.e.

$$n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Moreover,  $n_p = |G : N_G(P)|$  for any Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$ .

Thus,  $n_p \mid m$ .

**Pf:** Let  $Q$  be any Sylow  $p$ -subgroup. Suppose  $Q$  is not contained

in any conjugate of  $P$ , i.e. any  $P_i$ .

Then  $Q \cap P_i < Q \ \forall i$ , so

$$|\mathcal{O}_i| = \underbrace{|Q : Q \cap P_i|}_{\text{div. by } p} > 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq s.$$

Thus  $p$  divides  $|\mathcal{O}_1| + \dots + |\mathcal{O}_s| = r$ , a contradiction.

Thus,  $Q \leq P_i$ , for some  $i$ , which proves the first part of 1.)

If  $Q$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup, then  $Q \leq P_i \cong P$ . But  $|Q| = |P|$ , so  $Q = P_i$ , some  $i$ , which finishes the proof of 1.).

Thus, every Sylow  $p$ -subgroup is one of the  $P_i$ , so  $n_p = r \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Recall that we showed that the number of conjugates of a subset of a group is the index of its normalizer. Thus,

$$n_p = |G : N_G(P)| \text{ for any Sylow } p\text{-subgroup } P. \quad \square$$

Note that this theorem implies that

any two Sylow  $p$ -subgroups are isomorphic.

We also know that if  $n_p > 1$ , none of the Sylow  $p$ -subgroups can be normal. In fact:

Cor: let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . The following are equivalent.

- 1.)  $P$  is the unique Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . i.e.  $n_p = 1$ .
- 2.)  $P \trianglelefteq G$
- 3.) If  $\varphi: G \rightarrow G$  is an automorphism  $\varphi(P) = P$ . (i.e.  $P$  is characteristic)
- 4.) If  $X \subseteq G$  s.t.  $|x|$  is a power of  $p \ \forall x \in X$ , then  $\langle X \rangle$  is a  $p$ -group.

Pf: 1.) holds  $\iff gPg^{-1} = P \ \forall g \in G \iff P$  is normal.  
so 1.)  $\iff$  2.)

If 1.) holds then for any automorphism  $\varphi: G \rightarrow G$ ,  $|\varphi(P)| = |P|$ ,  
so  $\varphi(P) = P$ , so 1.)  $\implies$  3.)

If 3.) holds, then for any  $g \in G$ , consider the automorphism  
 $\varphi: G \rightarrow G$  defined  $h \mapsto ghg^{-1}$ . Then  $P = \varphi(P) = gPg^{-1}$ , so  
 $P$  is normal. i.e. 3.)  $\implies$  2.)

If 4.) holds, then let  $X$  be the union of all the Sylow  $p$ -subgroups.  
Each elt of  $X$  must have order a power of  $p$ , so  $\langle X \rangle$   
is a  $p$ -subgp. But then it's contained in a Sylow  $p$ -subgp.  
 $\implies \langle X \rangle = X =$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup

Thus, there is only one Sylow  $p$ -subgroup, so 4.)  $\Rightarrow$  1.)

If 1.) holds, then let  $x$  have order a power of  $p$ . Then  $\langle x \rangle \leq P$ , so any set of such elements generate a subgroup of  $P$ , which is thus a  $p$ -group. so 1.)  $\Rightarrow$  4.).  $\square$

Examples: let  $G$  be a finite group and  $p$  prime.

1.) If  $p$  doesn't divide  $|G|$ , then  $1$ , which has order  $p^0$  is the unique Sylow  $p$ -subgroup.

2.) If  $G$  is a finite abelian group, then all its subgroups are normal, so it has a unique Sylow  $p$ -subgroup for each  $p$ .

3.)  $|S_3| = 2 \cdot 3$ . It has  $3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  Sylow 2-subgroups  $\langle (12) \rangle, \langle (23) \rangle, \langle (13) \rangle$ .  
It has a unique (thus normal) Sylow 3-subgroup  $\langle (123) \rangle$ .

4.)  $|A_4| = 12 = 2^2 \cdot 3$ . It has just one Sylow 2-subgroup (of order 4) by a hw problem. It has 4 Sylow 3-subgroups.

5.) Note that  $D_8$  acts faithfully on  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  so  $D_8 \hookrightarrow S_4$ .

$|S_4| = 24 = 2^3 \cdot 3$ . Thus every Sylow 2-subgroup is isomorphic to  $D_8$ .

However,  $S_4$  has 9 elements of order 2,

$(12), (13), (14), (23), (24), (34), (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)$

which must be in Sylow 2-subgroups. So  $n_2 > 1$ .  $n_2$  divides 3 and is  $1 \pmod{2}$ , so  $n_2 = 3$ .

There are more than 2 elements of order 3, so  $n_3 > 1$ .

But  $n_3 \mid 8$  so  $n_3 = 4$ .

We are now able to prove Cauchy's Theorem more generally:

**Cauchy's Theorem:** Let  $G$  be a finite group and suppose  $p \mid |G|$ .

Then  $G$  has an element of order  $p$ .

**Pf:** Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup. Since  $p \mid |G|$ ,  $P$  has order  $p^\alpha$ , some  $\alpha \geq 1$ .

We showed  $P$  has nontrivial center, so  $p \mid |Z(P)|$ .  $Z(P)$  is abelian, so by Cauchy's Theorem for abelian groups there is some  $g \in Z(P)$  s.t.  $|g| = p$ .  $\square$

**Ex:** Let  $G$  be a group of order  $12 = 3 \cdot 2^2$ . We show either  $G$  has a normal Sylow 3-subgroup or  $G \cong A_4$ .

Suppose  $n_3 \neq 1$ . Let  $P \in \text{Syl}_3(G)$ .

$n_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $n_3 | 4$ , so  $n_3 = 4$ . Distinct subgroups of order 3 intersect just at the identity and each have 2 elements of order 3, so  $G$  has  $2 \cdot 4 = 8$  elements of order 3.

$$|G : N_G(P)| = n_3 = 4, \text{ so } N_G(P) = P.$$

$G$  acts by conjugation on the four Sylow 3-subgroups so we get a permutation representation  $\varphi : G \rightarrow S_4$ .

The kernel is the subgroup  $K \leq G$  which normalizes all the Sylow 3-subgroups. In particular,  $K \leq N_G(P) = P$ , but  $P$  isn't normal so  $K = 1$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is injective, so

$$G \cong \varphi(G) \leq S_4$$

There are exactly 8 elements of order 3 in  $S_4$ , all in  $A_4$ , so  $\varphi(G) \cap A_4$  has at least 9 elements (including the identity).

But both groups have order 12, so  $\varphi(G) = A_4$ , so  $G \cong A_4$ .

**Ex:** Let  $p$  and  $q$  be primes,  $p \neq q$ , and suppose  $|G| = p^2 q$ .

We'll show  $G$  has a normal Sylow subgroup (for either  $p$  or  $q$ ). Let  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ ,  $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$ .

Case 1:  $p > q$ . Then  $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  but  $n_p \mid q$ , so  $n_p = 1$ , so  $P \trianglelefteq G$ .

Case 2:  $p < q$ . If  $n_q = 1$ ,  $Q \trianglelefteq G$ . Thus assume  $n_q > 1$ .

Then  $n_q = 1 + tq$ , some  $t > 0$ , and  $n_q \mid p^2$ . Thus  $n_q = p$  or  $p^2$ . But  $q > p$ , so  $n_q \neq p$ . Thus  $n_q = 1 + tq = p^2$ .

$$\Rightarrow tq = p^2 - 1 = (p+1)(p-1)$$

$q$  is prime so  $q \mid p+1$  or  $q \mid p-1$ . Since  $q > p$ , the latter can't happen. Thus,  $q \mid p+1$ , so  $q = p+1$ .

But the only two primes that have a difference of 1 are 2 and 3, so  $p=2$ ,  $q=3$ , and  $|G|=12$ .

Thus, by the previous example,  $G$  has a normal Sylow 3-subgroup or  $G \cong A_4$ , which has a normal Sylow 2-subgroup.